

# EXHIBIT 6

# **EXHIBIT H**

## Message

**From:** [REDACTED] [/O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[REDACTED]BA2]  
**Sent:** 4/20/2022 3:26:41 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Message summary [{"otherUserFbId":100070088690423,"threadFbId":null}]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] (4/19/2022 19:26:11 PDT):

>hey [REDACTED].  
 >  
 >for the "MTG | Supervision support x-meta preview" meeting at 3:30pm today,  
 >  
 >are you driving next steps?  
 >  
 >mostly looking to check that we understand next steps and have clear owners :)  
 >  
 >@silent

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] (4/19/2022 19:34:24 PDT):

>(I was going through the airport getting on a flight during the meeting so I wasn't able to participate but I listened a lot for about half the meeting. I sync'd with [REDACTED] after)  
 >  
 >@silent

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] (4/19/2022 20:11:59 PDT):

>@silent hello yes, as next step we are meeting [REDACTED] [REDACTED], along with [REDACTED] to align on the larger vision. Once we get that alignment, we can then work on the next set of details - especially around account linking and FX timeframes.  
 >We will be working with [REDACTED] and the VR parental controls. But pinged [REDACTED] and we will make sure to include you. Looking forward to working with you again.

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] (4/19/2022 20:13:11 PDT):

>@silent BTW -would love to understand a bit more about [REDACTED] team and how your team interfaces with [REDACTED] team?  
 >Also, any insights from working on VR? We have seen varying levels of interest on a x-meta collaboration

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] (4/19/2022 20:17:23 PDT):

>As part of the X-Meta Youth state of the union that [REDACTED] has been driving,  
 >  
 >the clarity I got is that:  
 >1- Nearly all of the scope in the State of the Union framework lives in my sub-pillar (VR Privacy & Integrity), except parental controls  
 >  
 >2- Parental Controls is the only user-facing experience in VR Foundation ([REDACTED] org). [REDACTED] is driving parental controls overall, with PMs in my Privacy & Integrity org collabing on details.  
 >  
 >Less clear but what I've observed is that Youth is an area where [REDACTED] is generally leaning in more than [REDACTED].  
 >  
 >[REDACTED] delegated to me to be our VR STO for Youth.  
 >  
 >@silent

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] (4/19/2022 20:19:18 PDT):

>> We have seen varying levels of interest on a x-meta collaboration  
 >  
 >I'm interested so long as we're clear on ROI.  
 >  
 >We have to be ruthless in prioritizing. We're a tiny immature org making big trades between success in the ecosystem now vs. progress towards 1-2 year and 5 year vision.  
 >  
 >Basically it helps to think of us as pre-PMF for most of what we want. We're basically a games console and we're barely starting to even launch our platform social features.  
 >  
 >Getting candid on where VR is started to help [REDACTED] ramp  
 >  
 >@silent

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] (4/19/2022 20:20:17 PDT):

>we don't want to be a games console. we want to be a general compute platform (laptop replacement is 2028 vision) that's the best version of the Metaverse  
 >

>@silent

██████████ (4/19/2022 20:22:23 PDT):

>Yup, makes sense

>

>@silent going beyond the x-meta youth state of the union, which is a specific timebox assessment, there are a couple of areas that is now starting with ██████████ team

>1) Parental controls where I am going to pull you in

>2) Age verification and age platform - also in ██████████ team for now. Would you like to be

██████████ (4/19/2022 20:23:30 PDT):

>our biggest identified Youth problems:

>1- headsets are a share household device like an iPad or TV. We're not 1 device per person. And often households share identities we need to deliver value for more people to switch to a personal identity.

>

>2- family wallet is one lever to #1. I've seen ██████████ pitch but I don't have full context

>

>3- We need stated age for per-Youth profiles that we can trust. A lot of integrity measures don't work unless we have this.

>

>@silent

██████████ (4/19/2022 20:25:51 PDT):

>Config I suggest right now:

>

>██████████ is driver on these.

>

>I'm a stakeholder ensuring that ██████████ team and VR Privacy & Integrity teams are creating one unified plan. My eng director partner ██████████ I'll pull in to keep me honest. :)

██████████ (4/19/2022 20:26:41 PDT):

>cool thanks